

Transfer Learning in NLP NLPL Winter School

Thomas Wolf - HuggingFace Inc.

Overview

- Session 1: Transfer Learning Pretraining and representations
- Session 2: Transfer Learning Adaptation and downstream tasks
- Session 3: Transfer Learning Limitations, open-questions, future directions



Sebastian Ruder



Matthew Peters



Swabha Swayamdipta

Many slides are adapted from **a Tutorial on Transfer Learning in NLP** I gave at NAACL 2019 with my amazing collaborators

Transfer Learning in NLP NLPL Winter School Session 2

Transfer Learning in NLP

Follow along with the tutorial:

- Colab: <u>https://tinyurl.com/NAACLTransferColab</u>
- Code: <u>https://tinyurl.com/NAACLTransferCode</u>

Agenda





4. Adaptation

4 – How to adapt the pretrained model

Several orthogonal directions we can make decisions on:

1. Architectural modifications?

How much to change the pretrained model architecture for adaptation

- 2. **Optimization** schemes? Which weights to train during adaptation and following what schedule
- 3. More **signal:** Weak supervision, Multi-tasking & Ensembling *How to get more supervision signal for the target task*







4.1 – Architecture

Two general options:



- A. Keep pretrained model internals unchanged:
 Add classifiers on top, embeddings at the bottom, use outputs as features
- B. **Modify** pretrained model internal architecture: Initialize encoder-decoders, task-specific modifications, adapters

4.1.A – Architecture: Keep model unchanged

General workflow:

- 1. **Remove pretraining task head** if not useful for target task
 - a. **Example**: remove softmax classifier from pretrained LM
 - b. Not always needed: some adaptation schemes re-use the pretraining objective/task, e.g. for multi-task learning



4.1.A – Architecture: Keep model unchanged

General,

pretrained

General workflow:

- 2. Add target task-specific layers on top/bottom of pretrained model
 - a. **Simple**: adding linear layer(s) on top of the pretrained model



4.1.A – Architecture: Keep model unchanged

General workflow:

- 2. Add target task-specific layers on top/bottom of pretrained model
 - a. **Simple**: adding linear layer(s) on top of the pretrained model
 - b. **More complex**: model output as input for a separate model
 - c. Often beneficial when target task requires **interactions** that are not available in pretrained embedding



4.1.B – Architecture: Modifying model internals

Various reasons:

- Adapting to a structurally different target task
 - a. Ex: Pretraining with a <u>single</u> input sequence (ex: language modeling) but adapting to a task with <u>several</u> input sequences (ex: translation, conditional generation...)
 - b. Use the pretrained model weights to initialize as much as possible of a structurally different target task model
 - c. Ex: Use monolingual LMs to initialize encoder and decoder parameters for MT (<u>Ramachandran et al.,</u> <u>EMNLP 2017</u>; <u>Lample & Conneau, 2019</u>)



4.1.B – Architecture: Modifying model internals Various reasons:

2. Task-specific modifications

- a. Provide pretrained model with capabilities that are useful for the target task
- b. Ex: Adding skip/residual connections, attention (Ramachandran et al., EMNLP 2017)



4.1.B – Architecture: Modifying model internals Various reasons:

- 3. Using **less parameters** for adaptation:
 - a. Less parameters to fine-tune
 - b. Can be **very useful** given the increasing size of model parameters
 - c. Ex: add bottleneck modules ("adapters") between the layers of the pretrained model (<u>Rebuffi et al., NIPS 2017; CVPR 2018</u>)



4.1.B – Architecture: Modifying model internals Adapters

- Commonly connected with a residual connection in parallel to an existing layer
- Most effective when placed at every layer (smaller effect at bottom layers)
- Different operations (convolutions, self-attention) possible
- Particularly suitable for modular architectures like Transformers (Houlsby et al., ICML 2019; Stickland and Murray, ICML 2019)



4.1.B – Architecture: Modifying model internals

Adapters (Stickland & Murray, ICML 2019)

- Multi-head attention (MH; shared across layers) is used in parallel with self-attention (SA) layer of BERT
- Both are added together and fed into a layer-norm (LN)



Hands-on #2: Adapting our pretrained model





Let's see how a simple fine-tuning scheme can be implemented with our pretrained model:

Plan

- □ Start from our Transformer language model
- Adapt the model to a target task:
 - Let keep the model **core unchanged**, load the pretrained weights
 - add a linear layer **on top**, newly initialized
 - use additional embeddings **at the bottom**, newly initialized
- Reminder material is here:

 - □ Code <u>http://tiny.cc/NAACLTransferCode</u> ⇒ same code in a repo



Adaptation task

- U We select a text classification task as the downstream task
- TREC-6: The Text REtrieval Conference (TREC) Question Classification (Li et al., COLING 2002)
- TREC consists of open-domain, fact-based questions divided into broad semantic categories contains 5500 labeled training questions & 500 testing questions with 6 labels: NUM, LOC, HUM, DESC, ENTY, ABBR

Ex:

- ★ How did serfdom develop in and then leave Russia ? -> DESC
- ★ What films featured the character Popeye Doyle ? -> ENTY

Model	Test	
CoVe (McCann et al., 2017) TBCNN (Mou et al., 2015) LSTM-CNN (Zhou et al., 2016) ULMFiT (ours)	4.2 4.0) 3.9 3.6	Transfer learning models shine on this type of low-resource task

First adaptation scheme









- Modifications:
 - Keep model internals unchanged
 - Add a linear layer on top
 - Add an additional embedding (classification token) at the bottom

Computation flow:

- □ Model input: the tokenized question with a classification token at the end
- Extract the last hidden-state associated to the classification token
- Pass the hidden-state in a linear layer and softmax to obtain class probabilities





Fine-tuning hyper-parameters:

- 6 classes in TREC-6

– Use fine tuning hyper parameters from <u>Radford et al., 2018</u>:

- learning rate from 6.5e-5 to 0.0
- fine-tune for 3 epochs

Let's load and prepare our dataset: - trim to the transformer input size & add a classification token at the end of each sample,

- pad to the left,
- convert to tensors,
- extract a validation set.

I	love	Mom	1	S	cooking	[CLS]
I	love	you	too	!	[CLS]	
No	way	[CLS]				
This	is	the	one	[CLS]		
Yes	[CLS]					

import random from torch.utils.data import TensorDataset, random split dataset file = cached path("https://s3.amazonaws.com/datasets.huggingface.co/trec/" "trec-tokenized-bert.bin") datasets = torch.load(dataset file) for split name in ['train', 'test']: # Trim the samples to the transformer's input length minus 1 & add a classification token datasets[split name] = [x[:args.num max positions-1] + [tokenizer.vocab['[CLS]']] for x in datasets[split name]] # Pad the dataset to max length padding length = max(len(x) for x in datasets[split name]) datasets[split name] = [x + [tokenizer.vocab['[PAD]']] * (padding length - len(x)) for x in datasets[split name]] # Convert to torch.Tensor and gather inputs and labels tensor = torch.tensor(datasets[split name], dtype=torch.long) labels = torch.tensor(datasets[split name + ' labels'], dtype=torch.long) datasets[split name] = TensorDataset(tensor, labels) # Create a validation dataset from a fraction of the training dataset valid size = int(adapt args.valid set prop * len(datasets['train'])) train size = len(datasets['train']) - valid size valid dataset, train dataset = random split(datasets['train'], [valid size, train size])

train_loader = DataLoader(train_dataset, batch_size=adapt_args.batch_size, shuffle=True)
valid_loader = DataLoader(valid_dataset, batch_size=adapt_args.batch_size, shuffle=False) 21
test_loader = DataLoader(datasets['test'], batch_size=adapt_args.batch_size, shuffle=False)







Our fine-tuning code:

A simple training update function: * prepare inputs: transpose and <u>build padding &</u> <u>classification token masks</u> * we have options to clip and accumulate gradients

We will evaluate on our validation and test sets: * validation: after each epoch * test: at the end

Schedule: * linearly increasing to Ir * linearly decreasing to 0.0



```
bptimizer = torch.optim.Adam(adaptation_model.parameters(), lr=adapt_args.lr)
```



RunningAverage(output_transform=lambda x: x).attach(trainer, "loss") ProgressBar(persist=True).attach(trainer, metric_names=['loss'])

Save checkpoints and finetuning config

checkpoint_handler = ModelCheckpoint(adapt_args.log_dir, 'finetuning_checkpoint', save_interval=1, require_empty=False)
trainer.add_event_handler(Events.EPOCH_COMPLETED, checkpoint_handler, {'mymodel': adaptation_model})
torch.save(args, os.path.join(adapt_args.log_dir, 'fine_tuning_args.bin'))

Hands-on: Model adaptation – Results



We can now fine-tune our model on TREC:

[50] trainer.run(train_loader, max_epochs=adapt_args.n_epochs)

C≁	Epoch [1/3]	[307/307] 100%	, loss=3.85e-01 [01:10<00:00]		Model	Test
	Validation Epoch: 1 Error rate:	9.174311926605505				rest
	Epoch [2/3]	[307/307] 100%	, loss=1.73e-01 [01:10<00:00]		CoVe (McCann et al., 2017)	4.2
	Validation Epoch: 2 Error rate:	5.871559633027523			ن TBCNN (Mou et al., 2015)	4.0
	Epoch [3/3]	[307/307] 100%	, loss=9.63e-02 [01:10<00:00]		LSTM-CNN (Zhou et al., 2016)	3.9
	Validation Epoch: 3 Error rate: <ignite.engine.engine.state 0<="" at="" th=""><th>5.688073394495408 x7ff4c8b385f8></th><th></th><th></th><th>ULMFiT (ours)</th><th>3.6</th></ignite.engine.engine.state>	5.688073394495408 x7ff4c8b385f8>			ULMFiT (ours)	3.6
0	evaluator.run(test_loader) print(f"Test Results - Error rate: {100*(1.00 - evaluator.state.metrics['accuracy']):.3f}")		:	We are at the state-of-the-art (UI MFiT)		
Ľ≯	> Test Results - Error rate: 3.600					

Remarks:

- The error rate goes down quickly! After one epoch we already have >90% accuracy.
 - Fine-tuning is highly data efficient in Transfer Learning
- U We took our pre-training & fine-tuning hyper-parameters straight from the literature on related models.
 - Fine-tuning is often robust to the exact choice of hyper-parameters

Hands-on: Model adaptation – Results



Let's conclude this hands-on with a few additional words on robustness & variance.

- □ Large pretrained models (e.g. BERT large) are prone to degenerate performance when fine-tuned on tasks with small training sets.
- Observed behavior is often "on-off": it either works very well or doesn't work at all.
- Understanding the conditions and causes of this behavior (models, adaptation schemes) is an open research question.



Figure 1: Distribution of task scores across 20 random restarts for BERT, and BERT with intermediary fine-tuning on MNLI. Each cross represents a single run. Error lines show mean \pm 1std. (a) Fine-tuned on all data, for tasks with <10k training examples. (b) Fine-tuned on no more than 5k examples for each task. (c) Fine-tuned on no more than 1k examples for each task. (*) indicates that the intermediate task is the same as the target task.



4.2 – Optimization

Several directions when it comes to the optimization itself:

- A. Choose **which weights** we should update *Feature extraction, fine-tuning, adapters*
- B. Choose **how and when** to update the weights *From top to bottom, gradual unfreezing, discriminative fine-tuning*
- C. Consider **practical trade-offs** Space and time complexity, performance











The main question: To tune or not to tune (the pretrained weights)?

- A. **Do not change** pretrained weights *Feature extraction, adapters*
- B. Change pretrained weights *Fine-tuning*

Don't touch the pretrained weights!

Feature extraction: • Weights are frozen



Don't touch the pretrained weights!

Feature extraction:

- □ Weights are **frozen**
- A linear classifier is trained on top of the pretrained representations



Don't touch the pretrained weights!

Feature extraction:

- Weights are frozen
- □ A **linear classifier** is trained on top of the L_1 pretrained representations
- **Don't just use features of the top layer!**
- Learn a linear combination of layers (Peters et al., NAACL 2018, Ruder et al., AAAI 2019)



Don't touch the pretrained weights!

Feature extraction:

 Alternatively, pretrained representations are used as features in downstream model



Don't touch the pretrained weights!

Adapters

Task-specific modules that are added in between existing layers



Don't touch the pretrained weights!

Adapters

- Task-specific modules that are added in between existing layers
- Only adapters are trained



Yes, change the pretrained weights!

Fine-tuning:

- Pretrained weights are used as initialization
 for parameters of the downstream model
- The whole pretrained architecture is trained during the adaptation phase



Hands-on #3: Using Adapters and freezing





Second adaptation scheme: Using Adapters

- Modifications:
 - □ add Adapters inside the backbone model: Linear
 ⇒ ReLU
 ⇒ Linear with a skip-connection
- As previously:
 - add a linear layer on top
 - use an additional embedding (classification token) at the bottom

We will **only** *train the adapters, the added linear layer and the embeddings*. The other parameters of the model will be **frozen**.






Let's adapt our model architecture

Inherit from our pretrained model to have all the modules.

Add the adapter modules: Bottleneck layers with 2 linear layers and a non-linear activation function (ReLU)

Hidden dimension is small: e.g. 32, 64, 256

The Adapters are inserted inside skip-connections after:

- the attention module
- □ the feed-forward module

```
class TransformerWithAdapters(Transformer):
def init (self, adapters dim, embed dim, hidden dim, num embeddings, num max positions,
              nam heads, num lavers, dropout, causal):
         Transformer with adapters (small bottleneck layers) """
     super(). init (embed dim, hidden dim, num embeddings, num max positions, num heads, num layers,
                      dropout, causal)
     self.adapters 1 = nn.ModuleList()
     self.adapters 2 = nn.ModuleList()
     for in range(num layers):
         self.adapters 1.append(nn.Sequential(nn.Linear(embed dim, adapters dim),
                                              nn.ReLU(),
                                              nn.Linear(adapters dim, embed dim)))
         self.adapters 2.append(nn.Sequential(nn.Linear(embed dim, adapters dim),
                                              nn.ReLU(),
                                              nn.Linear(adapters dim, embed dim)))
def forward(self, x, padding mask=None):
    """ x has shape [seq length, batch], padding mask has shape [batch, seq length] """
    positions = torch.arange(len(x), device=x.device).unsqueeze(-1)
     h = self.tokens embeddings(x)
     h = h + self.position embeddings(positions).expand as(h)
     h = self.dropout(h)
     attn mask = None
    if self.causal:
         attn mask = torch.full((len(x), len(x)), -float('Inf'), device=h.device, dtype=h.dtype)
         attn mask = torch.triu(attn mask, diagonal=1)
     for (layer norm 1, attention, adapter 1, layer norm 2, feed forward, adapter 2)
                       in zip(self.layer norms 1, self.attentions,
                                                                      self.adapters 1,
                              self.layer norms_2, self.feed_forwards, self.adapters_2):
         h = layer norm 1(h)
         x, = attention(h, h, h, attn mask=attn mask, need weights=False, key padding mask=padding mask)
         x = self.dropout(x)
         x = adapter 1(x) + x # Add an adapter with a skip-connection after attention module
         h = x + h
         h = layer norm 2(h)
         x = feed forward(h)
         x = self.dropout(x)
        x = adapter 2(x) + x # Add an adapter with a skip-connection after feed-forward module
                                                                                                         37
         h = x + h
     return h
```



Now we need to freeze the portions of our model we don't want to train. We just indicate that no gradient is needed for the frozen parameters by setting *param.requires_grad* to *False* for the frozen parameters:

```
for name, param in adaptation_model.named_parameters():
 if 'embeddings' not in name and 'classification' not in name and 'adapters_1' not in name and 'adapters_2' not in name:
     param.detach_()
     param.requires_grad = False
     else:
         param.requires_grad = True
     full_parameters = sum(p.numel() for p in adaptation_model.parameters())
     trained_parameters = sum(p.numel() for p in adaptation_model.parameters() if p.requires_grad)
     print(f"We will train {trained_parameters:3e} parameters out of {full_parameters:3e},"
     f" i.e. {100 * trained_parameters/full_parameters:.2f}%")
```

In our case we will train 25% of the parameters. The model is small & deep (many adapters) and we need to train the embeddings so the ratio stay quite high. For a larger model this ratio would be a lot lower.



We use a hidden dimension of 32 for the adapters and a learning rate ten times higher for the fine-tuning (we have added quite a lot of newly initialized parameters to train from scratch).

<pre>[185] trainer.run(train_loader</pre>	<pre>max_epochs=adapt_args.n_epochs)</pre>
---	--



Results similar to full-fine-tuning case with advantage of training only 25% of the full model parameters. For a small 50M parameters model this method is overkill ▷ for 300M-1.5B parameters models.

4.2.B – Optimization: What schedule?



We have decided which weights to update, but in which order and how should be update them?

Motivation: We want to **avoid overwriting useful pretrained information** and **maximize positive transfer**.

Related concept: **Catastrophic forgetting (McCloskey & Cohen, 1989; French, 1999)** When a model forgets the task it was originally trained on.

4.2.B – Optimization: What schedule?

A guiding principle: **Update from top-to-bottom**

- □ Progressively in **time**: freezing
- Progressively in **intensity**: Varying the learning rates
- Progressively vs. the pretrained model: Regularization



Main intuition: Training all layers at the same time on **data of a different distribution and task** may lead to instability and poor solutions.

Solution: **Train layers individually** to give them time to adapt to new task and data.

Goes back to layer-wise training of early deep neural networks (<u>Hinton et al., 2006</u>; <u>Bengio et al.,</u> <u>2007</u>).



Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
 - 1. Train new layer



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
 - 1. Train new layer
 - 2. Train one layer at a time



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
 - 1. Train new layer
 - 2. Train one layer at a time



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
 - 1. Train new layer
 - 2. Train one layer at a time



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
 - 1. Train new layer
 - 2. Train one layer at a time
 - 3. Train all layers



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another
- Sequential unfreezing (<u>Chronopoulou et al.</u>, <u>NAACL 2019</u>): hyper-parameters that determine length of fine-tuning
 - 1. Fine-tune additional parameters for $\,n\,$ epochs



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another
- Sequential unfreezing (<u>Chronopoulou et al.</u>, <u>NAACL 2019</u>): hyper-parameters that determine length of fine-tuning
 - 1. Fine-tune additional parameters for $\,n\,$ epochs
 - 2. Fine-tune pretrained parameters without embedding layer for k epochs



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another
- Sequential unfreezing (<u>Chronopoulou et al.</u>, <u>NAACL 2019</u>): hyper-parameters that determine length of fine-tuning
 - 1. Fine-tune additional parameters for $\,n\,$ epochs
 - 2. Fine-tune pretrained parameters without embedding layer for k epochs
 - 3. Train all layers until convergence



- Freezing all but the top layer (Long et al., ICML 2015)
- Chain-thaw (Felbo et al., EMNLP 2017): training one layer at a time
- Gradually unfreezing (<u>Howard & Ruder, ACL</u>
 2018): unfreeze one layer after another
- Sequential unfreezing (<u>Chronopoulou et al.</u>, <u>NAACL 2019</u>): hyper-parameters that determine length of fine-tuning

Commonality: **Train all parameters jointly** in the end



Hands-on #4: Using gradual unfreezing



Hands-on: Adaptation



Gradual unfreezing is similar to our previous freezing process. We start by freezing all the model except the newly added parameters:



We then gradually unfreeze an additional block along the training so that we train the full model at the end:



Hands-on: Adaptation



Gradual unfreezing has not been investigated in details for Transformer models ⇒ no specific hyper-parameters advocated in the literature Residual connections may have an impact on the method ⇒ should probably adapt LSTM hyper-parameters

[209] trainer.run(train_loader, max_epochs=adapt_args.n_epochs)

C≁	Epoch [1/3]	[307/307] 100%
	Unfreezing	block 15 with ['transformer.attentions.15.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.15.in_proj_bias
	Unfreezing	block 14 with ['transformer.attentions.14.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.14.in_proj_bias
	Unfreezing	block 13 with ['transformer.attentions.13.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.13.in_proj_bias
	Unfreezing	block 12 with ['transformer.attentions.12.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.12.in_proj_bias
	Unfreezing	block 11 with ['transformer.attentions.11.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.11.in_proj_bias
	Validation	Epoch: 1 Error rate: 7.706422018348624
	Epoch [2/3]	[307/307] 100%] [Jose=2 27e-02 [00:59-00]

Unfreezing	block 10 with ['transformer.attentions.10.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.10.in_proj_bias	3
Unfreezing	block 9 with ['transformer.attentions.9.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.9.in_proj_bias',	
Unfreezing	block 8 with ['transformer.attentions.8.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.8.in_proj_bias',	
Unfreezing	block 7 with ['transformer.attentions.7.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.7.in_proj_bias',	
Unfreezing	block 6 with ['transformer.attentions.6.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.6.in_proj_bias',	
Unfreezing	block 5 with ['transformer.attentions.5.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.5.in_proj_bias',	
Validation	Epoch: 2 Error rate: 6.788990825688068	
Epoch [3/3]	[307/307] 100%	
Unfreezing	block 4 with ['transformer.attentions.4.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.4.in_proj_bias',	

Unfreezing block 3 with ['transformer.attentions.3.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.3.in_proj_bias',					
Unfreezing block 2 with ['transformer.attentions.2.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.2.in_proj_bias',					
Unfreezing block 1 with ['transformer.attentions.l.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.l.in_proj_bias',					
Unfreezing block 0 with ['transformer.attentions.0.in_proj_weight', 'transformer.attentions.0.in_proj_bias',					
Unfreezing block -1 with []					
Validation Epoch: 3 Error rate: 7.339449541284404					
<ignite.engine.engine.state 0x7ff4c61999e8="" at=""></ignite.engine.engine.state>					

[210] evaluator.run(test_loader) print(f'Test Results - Error rate: {100*(1.00 - evaluator.state.metrics['accuracy']):.3f}")

☐→ Test Results - Error rate: 5.200

We show simple experiments in the Colab. Better hyper-parameters settings can probably be found.

Main idea: Use **lower learning rates** to **avoid overwriting** useful information.

Where and when?

- Lower layers (capture general information)
- Early in training (model still needs to adapt to target distribution)
- Late in training (model is close to convergence)



- Discriminative fine-tuning (<u>Howard & Ruder</u>, <u>ACL 2018</u>)
 - ❑ Lower layers capture general information
 → Use lower learning rates for lower layers

$$\eta^{(i)} = \eta \times d_f^{-i}$$



- Discriminative fine-tuning
- Triangular learning rates (<u>Howard & Ruder</u>, <u>ACL 2018</u>)
 - Quickly move to a suitable region, then slowly converge over time





- Discriminative fine-tuning
- Triangular learning rates (<u>Howard & Ruder</u>, <u>ACL 2018</u>)
 - Quickly move to a suitable region, then slowly converge over time
 - □ Also known as "learning rate warm-up"
 - Used e.g. in Transformer (<u>Vaswani et al., NIPS</u> 2017) and Transformer-based methods (BERT, GPT)
 - Facilitates optimization; easier to escape suboptimal local minima



 η_t

Main idea: minimize catastrophic forgetting by encouraging target model parameters to **stay close to pretrained model parameters** using a regularization term Ω .



T

More advanced (elastic weight consolidation; **EWC**): Focus on parameters θ that are important for the pretrained task based on the Fisher information matrix F(Kirkpatrick et al., PNAS 2017): $\Omega = \sum_{i} \frac{\lambda}{2} F_i (\theta'_i - \theta_i)^2$



EWC has downsides in continual learning:

- May over-constrain parameters
- Computational cost is linear in the number of tasks (Schwarz et al., ICML 2018)



If tasks are similar, we may also encourage source and target predictions to be close based on cross-entropy, similar to distillation:

 $\Omega = \mathcal{H}(\hat{y}, \hat{y}')$



Hands-on #5: Using discriminative learning





Discriminative learning rate can be implemented using two steps in our example: First we organize the parameters of the various layers in labelled parameters groups in the optimizer:



We can then compute the learning rate of each group depending on its label (at each training iteration):

4.2.C – Optimization: Trade-offs

Several trade-offs when choosing which weights to update:

- A. **Space** complexity *Task-specific modifications, additional parameters, parameter reuse*
- B. **Time** complexity *Training time*
- C. Performance



4.2.C – Optimization trade-offs: Space

Task-specific modifications


4.2.C – Optimization trade-offs: Time



4.2.C – Optimization trade-offs: Performance

- Rule of thumb: If task source and target tasks are dissimilar*, use feature extraction (Peters et al., 2019)
- □ Otherwise, feature extraction and fine-tuning often perform similar
- □ Fine-tuning BERT on textual similarity tasks works significantly better
- □ Adapters achieve performance competitive with fine-tuning
- Anecdotally, Transformers are easier to fine-tune (less sensitive to hyper-parameters) than LSTMs

*dissimilar: certain capabilities (e.g. modelling inter-sentence relations) are beneficial for target task, but pretrained model lacks them (see more later)

4.3 – Getting more signal

The target task is often a **low-resource** task. We can often improve the performance of transfer learning by combining a diverse set of signals:



- A. From **fine-tuning** a single model on a single adaptation task.... The Basic: fine-tuning the model with a simple classification objective
- B. ... to **gathering signal** from other datasets and related tasks ... *Fine-tuning with Weak Supervision, Multi-tasking and Sequential Adaptation*
- C. ... to **ensembling** models Combining the predictions of several fine-tuned models

4.3.A – Getting more signal: Basic fine-tuning

Simple example of fine-tuning on a text classification task:

- A. Extract a single fixed-length vector from the model: hidden state of first/last token or mean/max of hidden-states
- B. Project to the classification space with an additional classifier
- C. Train with a classification objective



4.3.B – Getting more signal: Related datasets/tasks

A. Sequential adaptation

Intermediate fine-tuning on related datasets and tasks

B. Multi-task fine-tuning with related tasks Such as NLI tasks in GLUE

C. Dataset Slicing

When the model consistently underperforms on particular slices of the data

D. Semi-supervised learning

Use unlabelled data to improve model consistency

4.3.B – Getting more signal: Sequential adaptation

Fine-tuning on related high-resource dataset

1. Fine-tune model on related task with more 1)T data



4.3.B – Getting more signal: Sequential adaptation

Fine-tuning on related high-resource dataset

- 1. Fine-tune model on related task with more $2)^2$ data
- 2. Fine-tune model on target task
 - Helps particularly for tasks with limited data and similar tasks (<u>Phang et al., 2018</u>)
 - Improves sample complexity on target task (<u>Yogatama et al., 2019</u>)



4.3.B – Getting more signal: Multi-task fine-tuning

Fine-tune the model jointly on related tasks 1

- For each optimization step, sample a task and a batch for training.
- Train via multi-task learning for a couple of epochs.



81

4.3.B – Getting more signal: Multi-task fine-tuning Fine-tune the model jointly on related tasks 2)T 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

- For each optimization step, sample a task and a batch for training.
- Train via multi-task learning for a couple of epochs.
- Fine-tune on the target task only for a few epochs at the end.



4.3.B – Getting more signal: Multi-task fine-tuning

Fine-tune the model with an unsupervised auxiliary task

- □ Language modelling is a related task!
- Fine-tuning the LM helps adapting the pretrained parameters to the target dataset.
- Helps even without pretraining (<u>Rei et</u> al., ACL 2017)
- □ Can optionally anneal ratio λ (Chronopoulou et al., NAACL 2019)
- □ Used as a separate step in ULMFiT
- $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_2$ T_1, T_2 -- L_n L_1 E

4.3.B – Getting more signal: Dataset slicing

Use auxiliary heads that are trained **only on particular subsets** of the data

- □ Analyze errors of the model
- Use heuristics to automatically identify challenging subsets of the training data
- Train auxiliary heads jointly with main head

See also <u>Massive Multi-task Learning with</u> <u>Snorkel MeTaL</u>



4.3.B – Getting more signal: Semi-supervised learning

Can be used to make model predictions **more consistent** using unlabelled data

Main idea: Minimize distance between predictions on original input *x* and perturbed input *x*'



4.3.B – Getting more signal: Semi-supervised learning

T

E

Can be used to make model predictions more consistent using unlabelled data

Perturbation can be noise, L_n masking (Clark et al., EMNLF 2018), data augmentation, L_1 e.g. back-translation (Xie et al., 2019)



4.3.C – Getting more signal: Ensembling

Reaching the state-of-the-art by ensembling independently fine-tuned models

Ensembling models

Combining the predictions of models fine-tuned with various hyper-parameters

Knowledge distillation
 Distill an ensemble of fine-tuned models in a single smaller model

4.3.C – Getting more signal: Ensembling

Combining the predictions of models fine-tuned with various hyper-parameters.

Model fine-tuned...

- on different tasks
- on different dataset-splits
- with different parameters (dropout, initializations...)
- from variant of pre-trained models (e.g. cased/uncased)



 $(c \mid x)$

4.3.C – Getting more signal: Distilling

x

Distilling ensembles of large models back in a single model

- knowledge distillation: train a student model on soft targets produced by the teacher (the ensemble)
 - $-\sum Q(c \mid X) \log(P_r(c \mid X))$
- Relative probabilities of the teacher labels contain information about how the teacher generalizes _____



00 00

 \dot{x}

00 00

 \dot{x}

 L_1

 \boldsymbol{E}

Hands-on #6: Using multi-task learning



Hands-on: Multi-task learning





Hands-on: Multi-task learning



We use a coefficient of 1.0 for the classification loss and 0.5 for the language modeling loss and fine-tune a little longer (6 epochs instead of 3 epochs, the validation loss was still decreasing).

[]	trainer.run(tr	ain_loader, max_epoch	ns=adapt_args.n_epoc	hs)			
Ľ	Epoch [1/6]		[307/307] 100%	, loss=1.07e+00 [01:21<00:00]			
	Validation Epo	och: 1 Error rate: 9	9.35779816513761				
	Epoch [2/6]		[307/307] 100%	, loss=7.08e-01 [01:21<00:00]			
	Validation Epo	och: 2 Error rate: 7	7.522935779816509				
	Epoch [3/6]		[307/307] 100%	, loss=5.46e-01 [01:22<00:00]			
	Validation Epo	och: 3 Error rate: 5	5.688073394495408				
	Epoch [4/6]		[307/307] 100%	, loss=4.66e-01 [01:21<00:00]			
	Validation Epo	och: 4 Error rate: 5	5.321100917431187		Multi-tasking helped us		
	Epoch [5/6]		[307/307] 100%	, loss=4.22e-01 [01:21<00:00]	improve over single-task		
	Validation Epo	och: 5 Error rate: 5	5.688073394495408		full readed fine turingle		
	Epoch [6/6]		[307/307] 100%	, loss=3.98e-01 [01:21<00:00]	tuii-model tine-tuning!		
	Validation Epo	och: 6 Error rate: 5					
	<ignite.engine< td=""><td>e.engine.State at 02</td><td></td></ignite.engine<>	e.engine.State at 02					
0	evaluator.run(print <u>(</u> f"Test R	test_loader) Results - Error rate:	:				
C→	Test Results	Error rate: 3.400					



5. Downstream applications Hands-on examples



5. Downstream applications - Hands-on examples

In this section we will explore downstream applications and practical considerations along two orthogonal directions:

- A. What are the various applications of transfer learning in NLP Document/sequence classification, Token-level classification, Structured prediction and Language generation
- B. How to leverage several frameworks & libraries for practical applications Tensorflow, PyTorch, Keras and third-party libraries like fast.ai, HuggingFace...

Frameworks & libraries: practical considerations

- Pretraining large-scale models is costly Use open-source models
 Share your pretrained models
- Sharing/accessing pretrained models
 - **Hubs**: Tensorflow Hub, PyTorch Hub
 - Author released checkpoints: ex BERT, GPT...
 - **Third-party** libraries: AllenNLP, fast.ai, HuggingFace
- Design considerations
 - Hubs/libraries:
 - Simple to use but can be difficult to modify model internal architecture
 - □ Author released checkpoints:
 - More difficult to use but you have full control over the model internals

Consumption	CO ₂ e (lbs)
Air travel, 1 passenger, NY↔SF	1984
Human life, avg, 1 year	11,023
American life, avg, 1 year	36,156
Car, avg incl. fuel, 1 lifetime	126,000
Training one model	
SOTA NLP model (tagging)	13
w/ tuning & experimentation	33,486
Transformar (larga)	101

w/ neural architecture search 394,863

96 Icons credits: David, Susannanova, Flatart, ProSymbols

5. Downstream applications - Hands-on examples

- A. Sequence and document level classification Hands-on: Document level classification (fast.ai)
- B. Token level classification Hands-on: Question answering (Google BERT & Tensorflow/TF Hub)
- C. Language generation Hands-on: Dialog Generation (OpenAI GPT & HuggingFace/PyTorch Hub)







5.A – Sequence & document level classification



Transfer learning for document classification using the fast.ai library.

□ Target task:

IMDB: a binary sentiment classification dataset containing 25k highly polar movie reviews for training, 25k for testing and additional unlabeled data. http://ai.stanford.edu/~amaas/data/sentiment/

□ <u>Fast.ai</u> has in particular:

- a pre-trained English model available for download
- a standardized data block API
- easy access to standard datasets like IMDB
- □ Fast.ai is based on PyTorch

5.A – Document level classification using fast.ai

<u>fast.ai</u> gives access to many high-level API out-of-the-box for vision, text, tabular data and collaborative filtering.

The library is designed for speed of experimentation, e.g. by importing all necessary modules at once in interactive computing environments, like:

Fast.ai then comprises all the high level modules needed to quickly setup a transfer learning experiment.

from fastai.text import *

Load IMDB dataset & inspect it.

Quick access to NLP functionality

DataBunch for the language model and the classifier

Load an AWD-LSTM (<u>Merity et al., 2017</u>) pretrained on WikiText-103 & fine-tune it on IMDB using the language modeling loss. path = untar_data(URLs.IMDB_SAMPLE)
print("Path:", path)
df = pd.read_csv(path/'texts.csv')
df.head()

[→ Path: /root/.fastai/data/imdb_sample

labe	L text	is_valid
0 negativ	Un-bleeping-believable! Meg Ryan doesn't even	False
1 positiv	This is a extremely well-made film. The acting	False
2 negativ	Every once in a long while a movie will come a	False
3 positiv	Name just says it all. I watched this movie wi	False
4 negativ	This movie succeeds at being one of the most u	False



3.836543

3.828021

0.290434 00:16

0.291311 00:16

4.148463

3.951989

3

5.A – Document level classification using fast.ai

Once we have a fine-tune language model (AWD-LSTM), we can create a text classifier by adding a classification head with:

A layer to concatenate the final outputs of the RNN with the maximum and average of all the intermediate outputs (along the sequence length)

– Two blocks of *nn.BatchNorm1d* ⇒ *nn.Dropout* ⇒ *nn.Linear* ⇒ *nn.ReLU* with a hidden dimension of 50.

Now we fine-tune in two steps:

1. train the classification head only while keeping the language model frozen, and

2. fine-tune the whole architecture.

Colab: http://tiny.cc/NAACLTransferFastAiColab

learn = text_classifier_learner(data_clas, AWD_LSTM) learn.load_encoder('enc') learn.fit_one_cycle(4, moms=moms)

]	epoch	train_loss	valid_loss	accuracy	time
	0	0.663383	0.682115	0.572139	00:10
	1	0.623683	0.609520	0.651741	00:10
	2	0.597989	0.582999	0.666667	00:10
	3	0.580533	0.555404	0.666667	00:09

	-	_			
D	learn.u learn.f	infreeze() it_one_cycle	(8, slice(1e-	5,1e-3), m	oms=mon
C→	epoch	train_loss	valid_loss	accuracy	time
	0	0.555569	0.557091	0.681592	00:20
	1	0.566048	0.541689	0.721393	00:21
	2	0.554564	0.543157	0.736318	00:20
	3	0.556879	0.526971	0.756219	00:20
	4	0.552898	0.522964	0.751244	00:19
	5	0.541698	0.514611	0.756219	00:19
	6	0.535575	0.514330	0.756219	00:19
	7	0.529567	0.515582	0.746269	00:19

5.B – Token level classification: BERT & Tensorflow



Transfer learning for token level classification: Google's BERT in TensorFlow.

- Target task:
 SQuAD: a question answering dataset.
 <u>https://rajpurkar.github.io/SQuAD-explorer/</u>
- □ In this example we will directly use a Tensorflow checkpoint
 - Example: <u>https://github.com/google-research/bert</u>
 - We use the usual Tensorflow workflow: create model graph comprising the core model and the added/modified elements
 - Take care of variable assignments when loading the checkpoint



Let's adapt BERT to the target task.

Keep our core model unchanged.

Replace the pre-training head (language modeling) with a classification head:

a linear projection layer to estimate 2 probabilities for each token:

- being the start of an answer
- being the end of an answer.

Start/End Span



```
def create model(bert config, is training, input ids, input mask, segment ids,
                 use one hot embeddings):
  """Creates a classification model.""
  model = modeling.BertModel(
      config=bert config,
      is training=is training,
      input ids=input ids,
      input mask=input mask,
      token type ids=segment ids,
      use one hot embeddings=use one hot embeddings)
  final hidden = model.get sequence output()
  final hidden shape = modeling.get shape list(final hidden, expected rank=3)
  batch size = final hidden shape[0]
  seg length = final hidden shape[1]
  hidden size = final hidden shape[2]
  output weights = tf.get variable(
      "cls/squad/output weights", [2], hidden size],
      initializer=tf.truncated normal initializer(stddev=0.02))
  output bias = tf.get variable
      "cls/squad/output bias", [2]) initializer=tf.zeros initializer())
  final hidden matrix = tf.reshape(final hidden,
                                   [batch size * seq length, hidden size])
  logits = tf.matmul(final hidden matrix, output weights, transpose b=True)
  logits = tf.nn.bias add(logits, output bias)
  logits = tf.reshape(logits, [batch size, seq length, 2])
  logits = tf.transpose(logits, [2, 0, 1])
  unstacked logits = tf.unstack(logits, axis=0)
  (start logits, end logits) = (unstacked logits[0], unstacked logits[1])
  return (start logits, end logits)
```



Load our pretrained checkpoint

To load our checkpoint, we just need to setup an assignement_map from the variables of the checkpoint to the model variable, keeping only the variables in the model.

And we can use tf.train.init_from_ckeckpoint

```
def get assignment map from checkpoint(tvars, init checkpoint):
  """Compute the union of the current variables and checkpoint variables."""
  assignment map = \{\}
  initialized variable names = {}
  name to variable = collections.OrderedDict()
  for var in tvars:
    name = var.name
    m = re.match("^(.*): \d+\$", name)
    if m is not None:
      name = m.group(1)
    name to variable[name] = var
  init vars = tf.train.list variables(init checkpoint)
  assignment map = collections.OrderedDict()
  for x in init vars:
    (name, var) = (x[0], x[1])
    if name not in name to variable:
      continue
    assignment map[name] = name
    initialized variable names[name] = 1
    initialized variable names[name + ":0"] = 1
  return (assignment map, initialized variable names)
(start logits, end logits) = create model(
   bert config=bert config,
   is training=is training,
   input ids=input ids,
   input mask=input mask,
   segment ids=segment ids,
   use one hot embeddings=use one hot embeddings)
tvars = tf.trainable variables()
(assignment map,
initialized variable names) = get assignment map from checkpoint(tvars, init checkpoint)
tf.train.init from checkpoint(init checkpoint, assignment map)
```



TensorFlow-Hub

Working directly with TensorFlow requires to have access to-and include in your code- the *full* code of the pretrained model.

TensorFlow Hub is a library for **sharing** machine learning models as *self-contained pieces of TensorFlow graph with their weights and assets.*

Modules are automatically downloaded and cached when instantiated.

Each time a module *m* is called e.g. y = m(x), it adds operations to the current TensorFlow graph to compute *y* from *x*.





Tensorflow Hub host a nice selection of pretrained models for NLP

	D ▲ https://tfhub.dev	©	ז ה
	٩		
Text	Text embedding		
Embedding	text-embedding DAN English		
Classification Feature Vector	Encoder of greater-than-word length text trained on a variety of data.		
Generator Other	elmo By Google		
Video	Embeddings from a language model trained on the 1 Billion Word Benchmark.		
	bert_uncased_L-12_H-768_A-12 By Google Wikipedia and BooksCorpus Transformer English Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT).		

Tensorflow Hub can also used with Keras exactly how we saw in the BERT example

The main limitations of Hubs are:

- □ No access to the source code of the model (*black-box*)
- □ Not possible to modify the internals of the model (e.g. to add Adapters)

5.C – Language Generation: OpenAl GPT & PyTorch



Transfer learning for language generation: OpenAI GPT and HuggingFace library.

□ Target task:

ConvAI2 – The 2nd Conversational Intelligence Challenge for training and evaluating models for non-goal-oriented dialogue systems, i.e. chit-chat <u>http://convai.io</u>

HuggingFace library of pretrained models

 a repository of large scale pre-trained models with BERT, GPT, GPT-2, Transformer-XL
 provide an easy way to download, instantiate and train pre-trained models in PyTorch

 HuggingFace's models are now also accessible using PyTorch Hub

5.C – Chit-chat with OpenAI GPT & PyTorch

A dialog generation task:



Language generation tasks are close to the language modeling pre-training objective, but:

- Language modeling pre-training involves a single input: *a sequence of words*.
- □ In a dialog setting: several type of contexts are provided to generate an output sequence:
 - □ *knowledge base*: persona sentences,
 - □ history of the dialog: at least the last utterance from the user,
 - □ tokens of the output sequence that have already been generated.

How should we adapt the model?

5.C - Chit-chat with OpenAI GPT & PyTorch

о С



5.C - Chit-chat with OpenAI GPT & PyTorch

о С

from pytorch pretrained bert import OpenAIGPTLMHeadModel, OpenAIGPTTokenizer Let's import pretrained versions of OpenAI model = OpenAIGPTLMHeadModel.from pretrained('openai-gpt') GPT tokenizer and model. tokenizer = OpenAIGPTTokenizer.from pretrained('openai-gpt') # We use 5 special tokens: <bos>, <eos>, <speaker1>, <speaker2>, <pad> And add a few new tokens to the vocabulary # to indicate start/end of the input sequence, tokens from user/bot and padding SPECIAL TOKENS = ["<bos>", "<eos>", "<speaker1>", "<speaker2>", "<pad>"] # Add these special tokens to the vocabulary and the embeddings of the model: tokenizer.set special tokens(SPECIAL TOKENS) from itertools import chain model.set num special tokens(len(SPECIAL TOKENS)) # Let's define our contexts and special tokens persona string = ["i like football", "i am from NYC"] Now most of the work is about preparing the history string = ["how are you ?", "pretty fine"] reply string = "great !" bos, eos, speaker1, speaker2 = "<bos>", "<eos>", "<speaker1>", "<speaker2>" inputs for the model. persona = [tokenizer.tokenize(s) for s in persona string] history = [tokenizer.tokenize(s) for s in history string] We organize the contexts in segments reply = tokenizer.tokenize(reply string) def build inputs(persona, history, reply): # Build our sequence by adding delimiters and concatenating sequence = [[bos] + list(chain(*persona))] + history + [reply + [eos] sequence = [sequence[0]] + [[speaker2 if (len(sequence)-i) % 2 else speaker1] Add delimiter at the extremities of the segments for i, s in enumerate(sequence[1:])] # Build our word, segments and position inputs from the sequence words = list(chain(*sequence)) # word tokens And build our word, position and segment inputs segments = [speaker2 if i % 2 else speaker1 # segment tokens for i, s in enumerate(sequence) for in s] for the model. position = list(range(len(words))) # position tokens return words, segments, position, sequence words, segments, position, sequence = build inputs(persona, history, reply) Then train our model using the pretraining # Tokenize words and segments embeddings: language modeling objective. words = tokenizer.convert tokens to ids(words) segments = tokenizer.convert tokens to ids(segments) $lm targets = ([-1] * sum(len(s) for s in sequence[:-1])) \setminus$ + [-1] + tokenizer.convert tokens to ids(sequence[-1][1:1])
5.C – Chit-chat with OpenAI GPT & PyTorch

PyTorch Hub

Last Friday, the PyTorch team soft-launched a beta version of *PyTorch Hub*. Let's have a quick look.

- PyTorch Hub is based on GitHub repositories
- A model is shared by adding a *hubconf.py* script to the root of a GitHub repository
- Both model definitions and pre-trained weights can be shared
- More details: <u>https://pytorch.org/hub</u> and <u>https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/hub.html</u>

In our case, to use *torch.hub* instead of *pytorch-pretrained-bert*, we can simply call *torch.hub.load* with the path to *pytorch-pretrained-bert* GitHub repository:



PyTorch Hub will fetch the model from the *master branch* on *GitHub*. This means that you don't need to package your model (*pip*) & users will always access the most recent version (*master*).

That's all for this time